

Short Communication

Influence of Zinc and Boron Application on Weed Dynamics in No-Till and Plough-Till Wheat

Faisal Nadeem^{1*}, Muhammad Farooq^{1,2}, Muzammil Hussain⁴, Riaz Ahmad¹ and Muhammad Naveed³

¹Department of Agronomy, University of Agriculture, Faisalabad, Pakistan

²Department of Crop Sciences, College of Agricultural and Marine Sciences, Sultan Qaboos University, Al-Khoud 123, Oman

³Institute of Soil and Environmental Sciences, University of Agriculture, Faisalabad, 38040, Pakistan

⁴Adaptive Research Farm, Gujranwala, Pakistan

^{*}For correspondence: faisalnadeem2093@gmail.com

Abstract

Tillage practices have strong impact on the weed infestation and weed dynamics in field crops. In this study, consisted of two independent experiments, influence of zinc (Zn) and boron (B) application on weed dynamics in wheat planted in long-term (maintained for the last seven years) no tillage (NT) and plough tillage (PT) systems was evaluated. In the first experiment, Zn was applied as foliar spray (0.025 *M*), soil application (10 kg ha⁻¹) and seed priming (0.5 *M*); while in second experiment, B was delivered as soil (1 kg ha⁻¹) and foliar applications (0.01 *M*) and seed priming (0.01 *M*). No Zn and B application were taken as control in first and second experiment, respectively. In both experiments, the most important weeds identified were sweet clover (*Melilotus indica* L.) and little seed canary grass (*Phalaris minor* L.). Application of Zn and B did not have significant effect on the weed infestation. In first experiment, NT wheat had less total weed density (40% and 48%) than PT wheat during 2016-2017 and 2017-2018, respectively. No tillage reduced the density of sweet clover by 54% and 29% and density of little seed canary grass by 22% and 30% during first and second years, respectively compared with PT system. In second experiment, density of total weeds was higher (30%) in PT than NT wheat during 2016-2017 and 2017-2018, respectively. In conclusion, switching from conventional tillage to conservation tillage may help reducing the weed infestation in wheat. However, application of Zn and B didn't influence the weed infestation in wheat established under conventional tillage (PT) and conservation tillage (NT) systems. © 2019 Friends Science Publishers

Keywords: Conservation tillage; Little seed canary grass; Micronutrients; Sweet clover

Introduction

Weeds pose threat to wheat production causing 40-50% reduction in grain yield (Avery, 2006). Although, the tillage helps manage weeds, it also brings the weed seeds on upper soil surface and expose seed to sunlight (Shahzad *et al.*, 2016a; Wasaya *et al.*, 2018). This triggers the germination of deeply buried weeds seed.

Conservation agriculture such as no tillage (NT) are getting momentum and has evolved as alternate sustainable production system (Shahzad *et al.*, 2016b) for wheat in ricewheat system (Mishra and Singh, 2012). However, heavy weeds infestation is serious constraint in NT system during initial years of adaptation (Sosnoskie *et al.*, 2006). Difference in weed infestation in conventional and conservation production systems is attributed to change in emergence pattern of weeds over the growing seasons (Samarajeewa *et al.*, 2005). In NT, crop residue of preceding crop is maintained on the soil surface that helps suppressing the weeds and improving the system productivity (Singh *et* *al.*, 2015). Residue retention act as mulch, changes the soil microenvironment (Erenstein, 2003), prevent light stimulus, and avoid the soil temperature fluctuations and N mineralization (Franke *et al.*, 2007) that causes weed suppression (Chhokar *et al.*, 2007). Moreover, under NT, a crust layer is developed on the soil surface; increase the soil strength that impede weed seeds to emerge (Chhokar *et al.*, 2007).

Widespread deficiency of zinc (Zn) and boron (B) in rice-wheat cropping system of Indo-Gangetic plains is another yield limiting factor in this region (Rehman *et al.*, 2018a, b). While application of Zn and B may help tackle the deficiency issues of these micronutrients (Farooq *et al.*, 2018; Rehman *et al.*, 2018a, b), this may trigger the weed infestation. In our earlier studies, we monitored the weed spectrum and distribution in wheat planted in conventional and conservation tillage systems (Farooq and Nawaz, 2014; Shahzad *et al.*, 2016b). However, to the best of our knowledge, information on the influence of Zn and B application on weed dynamics in wheat planted under long-

To cite this paper: Nadeem, F., M. Farooq, M. Hussain, R. Ahmad and M. Naveed, 2019. Influence of zinc and boron application on weed dynamics in no-till and plough-till wheat. *Intl. J. Agric. Biol.*, 21: 675–678

term NT and PT systems is lacking. For this study, this was hypothesized that Zn and B application may influence the weed dynamics in wheat planted under long-term NT and PT systems in rice-wheat cropping systems.

Materials and Methods

Site and Soil

In this study, two independent field experiments were conducted at CMB Farm (longitude 74.53°E, 32.51°N), Sialkot, Pakistan during 2016-2017 and 2017-2018. The experimental soil was silt loam with pH 8.0, electrical conductivity (EC) 0.20 dS m⁻¹, total nitrogen 0.044%, available phosphorus 7 ppm, exchangeable potassium 110 ppm and total soil organic matter 0.69%.

The climate of the experimental site is humid subtropical with mean temperature ranged from 9°C to 33°C during the crop growth period whereas total rainfall of 325 mm and 267 mm were received during first and second crop growth period.

Experimental Details

Seed of wheat cultivar "Ujala-2016" were procured from Punjab Seed Corporation, Faisalabad Pakistan. Both experiments were laid down in split plot design with three replications. In both experiments, wheat was planted in NT and PT systems. For NT system, wheat seeds were drilled directly into the soil in the stubbles of previous crop. While in PT system, the field was prepared using tractor mounted cultivator (up to 20 cm depth) following planking and wheat was sown using drill method. In first experiment, Zn was applied as seed priming (0.05 M), soil application (10 kg ha)¹) and foliage spray (0.025 *M*) using $ZnSO_4$ as source. Whereas, in second experiment, B was delivered as soil application (1 kg ha⁻¹), seed priming (0.01 M) and foliar application $(0.01 \ M)$ using source H₃BO₃. Foliage application was done at leaf boot stage. Whereas, no Zn and B application was taken as control in first and second experiment, respectively.

Crop Husbandry

The crop was sown on November 20, 2015 and November 24, 2016 during first and second years, respectively using seed rate of 100 kg ha⁻¹ in 22.5 cm spaced rows. Fertilizers were applied, based on soil analysis report, at 100-90-75 N, P, K kg ha⁻¹ using urea (46% N), diammonium phosphate (46% P, 18% N) and sulfate of potash (50% K), respectively as sources. One third N and whole amount of P and K were applied as basal dose, while the remaining N was applied in two equal splits with first and second irrigation. In total, four irrigations were applied during the crop growth period. At harvest maturity, wheat crop was harvested on April 22, 2016 and April 25, 2017 during first and second years, respectively.

Observations and Measurements

The experimental field was visited 45 days after sowing to record density and biomass of individual and total weed present in the field. At three different points each measuring 1 m \times 1 m, weeds were collected, identified and counted to record weed density. The collected samples were dried in an electric oven, till constant weight, to record the weed dry weight. For each treatment in both experiments, sum of all weeds, density as well as dry weight, were recorded as total weed density and dry weight, respectively.

Results

Experiment 1

Analysis of variance indicated that tillage systems significantly affected the density and biomass of total weeds and density of sweet clover and little seed canary grass. However, Zn application methods did not influence any of the weed attributes significantly. The interaction of TS \times Zn was also non-significant for the density and biomass of total weeds and density of sweet clover and little seed canary grass (Table 1). Density and biomass of total weeds and density of sweet clover and little seed canary grass were lower in NT than the PT during both growing seasons (Fig. 1 and 2). The density and biomass of total weeds were lower during 2016-2017 than 2017-2018 (Fig. 1).

Experiment 2

Analysis of variance indicated that tillage systems significantly affected the density and biomass of total weeds as well as densities of sweet clover and little seed canary grass. However, B application methods had no significant effect on the total and individual weed biomass and density during both growing seasons. Likewise, the interaction of TS \times B for the density and biomass of total weeds and densities of sweet clover and little seed canary grass was also non-significant for (Table 2). The density and biomass of total weeds and density grass were lower in NT system than the PT systems during both years (Fig. 3 and 4).

Discussion

The hypothesis of this study was not accepted as Zn and B application didn't influence the weed dynamics in wheat planted under long-term NT and PT systems. Plausibly, application of Zn and B had similar effect on the wheat and weeds, in both tillage systems, thus the influence of these micronutrients on weeds was neutralized (data not given). However, tillage systems significantly differed for weed infestation.

Change in tillage methods strongly influences the weed spectra and distribution. Weed flora and their abundance

Table 1: Analysis of variance for the influence of zinc application on density and biomass of total weeds, and density of sweet clover and little seed canary grass in wheat planted in conventional and conservation tillage systems

DF	Total weed density		Total weed biomass		Sweet clover density		Little seed canary grass density	
	2016-2017	2017-2018	2016-2017	2017-2018	2016-2017	2017-2018	2016-2017	2017-2018
3	23.28	58.25	2.52	0.05	7.54	4.86	10.03	31.86
1	913.78**	1081.12**	46.75*	5.79**	78.12*	108.78*	457.53**	504.03**
3	4.28	16.87	3.51	0.15	3.70	8.28	6.61	10.03
3	2.36ns	10.58ns	1.79ns	0.69ns	0.70ns	2.61ns	1.03ns	11.86ns
3	16.86ns	3.37ns	2.33ns	0.35ns	1.70ns	1.86ns	11.78ns	1.86ns
18	16.92	16.98	2.60	0.41	2.26	5.15	13.62	12.22
31								
	3 1 3 3 3 18	2016-2017 3 23.28 1 913.78** 3 4.28 3 2.36ns 3 16.86ns 18 16.92	2016-2017 2017-2018 3 23.28 58.25 1 913.78** 1081.12** 3 4.28 16.87 3 2.36ns 10.58ns 3 16.86ns 3.37ns 18 16.92 16.98	2016-2017 2017-2018 2016-2017 3 23.28 58.25 2.52 1 913.78** 1081.12** 46.75* 3 4.28 16.87 3.51 3 2.36ns 10.58ns 1.79ns 3 16.86ns 3.37ns 2.33ns 18 16.92 16.98 2.60	2016-2017 2017-2018 2016-2017 2017-2018 3 23.28 58.25 2.52 0.05 1 913.78** 1081.12** 46.75* 5.79** 3 4.28 16.87 3.51 0.15 3 2.36ns 10.58ns 1.79ns 0.69ns 3 16.86ns 3.37ns 2.33ns 0.35ns 18 16.92 16.98 2.60 0.41	2016-2017 2017-2018 2016-2017 2017-2018 2016-2017 3 23.28 58.25 2.52 0.05 7.54 1 913.78** 1081.12** 46.75* 5.79** 78.12* 3 4.28 16.87 3.51 0.15 3.70 3 2.36ns 10.58ns 1.79ns 0.69ns 0.70ns 3 16.86ns 3.37ns 2.33ns 0.35ns 1.70ns 18 16.92 16.98 2.60 0.41 2.26	2016-2017 2017-2018 2016-2017 2017-2018 2016-2017 2017-2018 3 23.28 58.25 2.52 0.05 7.54 4.86 1 913.78** 1081.12** 46.75* 5.79** 78.12* 108.78* 3 4.28 16.87 3.51 0.15 3.70 8.28 3 2.36ns 10.58ns 1.79ns 0.69ns 0.70ns 2.61ns 3 16.86ns 3.37ns 2.33ns 0.35ns 1.70ns 1.86ns 18 16.92 16.98 2.60 0.41 2.26 5.15	2016-2017 2017-2018 2016-2017 2017-2018 2016-2017 2017-2018 2016-2017 3 23.28 58.25 2.52 0.05 7.54 4.86 10.03 1 913.78** 1081.12** 46.75* 5.79** 78.12* 108.78* 457.53** 3 4.28 16.87 3.51 0.15 3.70 8.28 6.61 3 2.36ns 10.58ns 1.79ns 0.69ns 0.70ns 2.61ns 1.03ns 3 16.86ns 3.37ns 2.33ns 0.35ns 1.70ns 1.86ns 11.78ns 18 16.92 16.98 2.60 0.41 2.26 5.15 13.62

DF = Degree of freedom; ns = non-significant; ** = significant at $p \le 0.01$; * = significant at $p \le 0.05$

Table 2: Analysis of variance for the influence of boron application on density and biomass of total weeds, and density of sweet clover and little seed canary grass in wheat planted in conventional and conservation tillage systems

Source of variation	DF	Total weed density		Total weed biomass		Sweet clover density		Little seed canary grass density	
		2016-2017	2017-2018	2016-2017	2017-2018	2016-2017	2017-2018	2016-2017	2017-2018
Replication	3	18.083	1.365	1.127	0.198	7.791	7.583	16.541	3.531
Tillage systems (TS)	1	364.500**	185.281*	92.106**	101.033**	91.125*	24.500*	91.125**	75.031*
Error	3	9.750	5.615	0.942	0.962	6.791	1.416	0.708	4.781
Boron application (B)	3	23.417ns	6.865ns	1.852ns	2.686ns	8.750ns	8.583ns	24.125ns	10.114ns
$TS \times B$	3	5.083ns	2.115ns	0.877ns	1.998ns	1.875ns	5.083ns	3.125ns	3.031ns
Error	18	14.361	4.045	0.601	0.875	4.486	3.277	10.180	4.322
Total	31								

DF = Degree of freedom; ns = non-significant; * = significant at $p \le 0.05$; ** = significant at $p \le 0.01$




Fig. 1: Density (m⁻²) and biomass (g m⁻²) of total weeds in wheat planted in conventional and conservation tillage systems at 45 days after sowing

changes while switches from PT to NT system as was observed in this study. Although tillage help to reduce the weeds population by uprooting, brings buried weed seeds back to upper soil surface and exposes to light and predators (Swanton et al., 2000). However, tillage also improves the weeds emergence by providing suitable physical environment. However, NT system reduced the total and individual densities of weeds and total weed biomass as growth and germination of several weed species is inhibited due to residue retention of previous crop (Gupta and Seth, 2007), which affect the suitable condition for germination like temperature, moisture and light (Bhullied et al., 2003). Zero tillage in wheat help to suppress 51.3% weeds; moreover, density of little seed canary grass was reduced by 10.5% in NT than PT system (Usman et al., 2009). Weeds such as little seed canary grass and sweet clover dominate under PT system (Fig. 1 and 3); while in case of zero tillage,




Fig. 2: Density (m⁻²) of sweet clover and little seed canary grass biomass in wheat planted in conventional and conservation tillage systems at 45 days after sowing

these weeds disappear because tillage induce changes in weed seed distribution due to which seed may lose its viability. Chhokar *et al.* (2007), NT in wheat effectively suppress the infestation of little seed canary grass as observed in this study.

Crop residue cover in NT systems acts as physical barrier that prevent light penetration to weed seeds and their emergence. The unavailability of light because of residue layer suppress weed seedling emergence (Crutchfield *et al.*, 1986). Moreover, the germinated weeds have trouble to penetrate in no-tilled soil resulting in lethal germination (Mohler, 2001). Lower weed density in NT wheat may be due to allelopathic suppression (Jung *et al.*, 2004) of previous rice crop as wheat sown in rice residues have low weed pressure (Fig. 1; Sharma *et al.*, 2008). Surface residue retention changes the soil physical and chemical environment (more soil aeration, temperature fluctuations)




Fig. 3: Density (m^{-2}) and biomass $(g m^{-2})$ of total weeds in wheat planted in conventional and conservation tillage systems at 45 days after sowing




Fig. 4: Density (m⁻²) of sweet clover and little seed canary grass biomass in wheat planted in conventional and conservation tillage systems at 45 days after sowing

that affect the weed seed germination (Mohler, 2001). On the other hand, tillage provide seed germination stimuli such as fluctuating temperature, scarification, light, high nitrate to break seed dormancy and ambient CO_2 concentration (Benech-Arnold *et al.*, 2000) that help weed seed to emerge as crop germination start.

Conclusion

In conclusion, Zn and B application in wheat planted in longterm NT and PT system did not influence the weed dynamics. However, shifting from conventional to conservation tillage caused significant reduction in weed infestation in wheat.

References

- Avery, A.A., 2006. Nature's Toxic Tools, in: The Organic Myth of Pesticidefree Farming. Center for Global Food Issues
- Benech-Arnold, R.L., R.A. Sánchez, F. Forcella, B.C. Kruk and C.M. Ghersa, 2000. Environmental control of dormancy in weed seed banks in soil. *Field Crop Res.*, 67: 105–122
- Bhullied, W.J., A.M. Marginet and R.C. Van-Acker, 2003. Conventional and conservation tillage systems influence emergence periodicity of annual weed species in canola. *Weed Sci.*, 51: 886–897
- Chhokar, R.S., R.K. Sharma, G.R. Jat, A.K. Pundir and M.K. Gathala, 2007. Effect of tillage and herbicides on weeds and productivity of wheat under rice–wheat growing system. *Crop Prot.*, 26: 1689–1696
- Crutchfield, D.A., G.A. Wicks and O.C. Burnside, 1986. Effect of winter wheat (*Triticum aestivum*) straw mulch level on weed control. *Weed Sci.*, 34: 110–114

- Erenstein, O., 2003. Smallholder conservation farming in the tropics and subtropics: a guide to the development and dissemination of mulching with crop residues and cover crops. *Agric. Ecosyst. Environ.*, 100: 17– 37
- Farooq, M. and A. Nawaz, 2014. Weed dynamics and productivity of wheat in conventional and conservation rice-based cropping systems. *Soil Till. Res.*, 141: 1–9
- Farooq, M., A. Ullah, A. Rehman, A. Nawaz, A. Nadeem, A. Wakeel, F. Nadeem and K.H.M. Siddique, 2018. Application of zinc improves the productivity and biofortification of fine grain aromatic rice grown in dry seeded and puddled transplanted production systems. *Field Crops Res.*, 216: 53–62
- Franke, A.C., S. Singh, N. Mcroberts, A.S. Nehra, S. Godara, R.K. Malik and G. Marshall, 2007. *Phalaris minor* seedbank studies: longevity, seedling emergence and seed production as affected by tillage regime. *Weed Res.*, 47: 73–83
- Gupta, R. and A. Seth, 2007. A review of resource conserving technologies for sustainable management of the rice-wheat cropping systems of the Indo-Gangetic plains (IGP). *Crop Prot.*, 26: 436–447
- Jung, W.S., K.H. Kim, J.K. Ahn, S.J. Hahn and I.M. Chung, 2004. Allelopathic potential of rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) residues against *Echinochloa crus-galli. Crop Prot.*, 23: 211–218
- Mishra, J.S. and V.P. Singh, 2012. Tillage and weed control effects on productivity of a dry seeded rice-wheat system on a Vertisol in Central India. *Soil Till. Res.*, 123: 11–20
- Mohler, C.L., 2001. Enhancing the competitive ability of crops. In: *Ecological Management of Agricultural Weeds*, pp: 269–321. Liebman, M., C.L. Mohler and C. Staver (eds.). Cambridge University Press, UK
- Rehman, A., M. Farooq, L. Ozturk, M. Asif and K.H.M. Siddique, 2018b. Zinc nutrition in wheat-based cropping systems. *Plant Soil*, 422: 283– 315
- Rehman, A.U., M. Farooq, A. Rashid, F. Nadeem, S. Stuerz, F. Asch, R.W. Bell and K.H.M. Siddique, 2018a. Boron nutrition of rice in different production systems. A review. *Agron. Sustain. Dev.*, 38: 25
- Samarajeewa, K.B.D.P., T. Horiuchi and S. Oba, 2005. Weed population dynamics in wheat as affected by *Astragalus sinicus* L. (Chinese milk vetch) under reduced tillage. *Crop Prot.*, 24: 864–869
- Shahzad, M., M. Farooq, K. Jabran and M. Hussain, 2016a. Impact of different crop rotations and tillage systems on weed infestation and productivity of bread wheat. *Crop Prot.*, 89: 161–169
- Shahzad, M., M. Farooq and M. Hussain, 2016b. Weed spectrum in different wheat-based cropping systems under conservation and conventional tillage practices in Punjab, Pakistan. Soil Till. Res., 163: 71–79
- Sharma, R.K., R.S. Chhokar, M.L. Jat, S. Singh, B. Mishra and R.K. Gupta, 2008. Direct drilling of wheat into rice residues: experiences in Haryana and western Uttar Pradesh. *In: Permanent Beds and Rice-Residue Management for Rice–Wheat Systems in the Indo-Gangetic Plain*, pp: 147–158. Humphreys, E. and C.H. Roth (eds.). Canbera, Australia: Australian Centre for International Agricultural Research (ACIAR) Proceedings No. 127
- Singh, V.P., K.K. Barman, R. Singh and A.R. Sharma, 2015. Weed management in conservation agriculture systems. *In: Conservation Agriculture*, pp: 39–77. Farooq, M. and K.H.M. Siddique (eds.). Springer, Cham, Heidelberg New York, USA
- Sosnoskie, L.M., C.P. Herms and J. Cardina, 2006. Weed seed bank community composition in a 35 years old tillage and rotation experiment. Weed Sci., 54: 263–273
- Swanton, C.J., A. Shrestha, S.Z. Knezevic, R.C. Roy and B.R. Ball-Coelho, 2000. Influence of tillage type on vertical weed seedbank distribution in a sandy soil. *Can. J. Plant Sci.*, 80: 455–457
- Usman, K., S.K. Khalil, F. Mohammad, M.A. Khan and A.Z. Khan, 2009. Weed management in wheat as affected by tillage and herbicides. *Pak. J. Weed Sci. Res.*, 15: 155–169
- Wasaya, A., M. Tahir, T.A. Yasir, S. Ul-Allah and M. Hussain, 2018. Impact of tillage systems and temporal nitrogen application on soil properties, nitrogen uptake and net returns in maize. *Intl. J. Agric. Biol.*, 20: 1953–1960

(Received 02 November 2018; Accepted 20 November 2018)